1.44	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/777,053	SIMARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>F. Pierre VanderVegt</u> .	(3)	
(2) Marc Morley.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 4 9 10 19 21 27 28-39		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
12.0 N.1.W		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to the Examiner's amendment. It was agreed to replace claim 27 with new independent claim 35, using terms having the same meaning as the terms in claim 27 (see page 32 of the specification, for example), in order to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter and improve readability. It was agreed that the scope of the claimed subject matter was not altered by the amendment.